

From: Michael Horn <michael@andyettheyfly.com>
Date: Mon Apr 14, 2003 5:39:25 PM US/Pacific
To: randi@randi.org, jref@randi.org
Subject: Fwd: Referral

Mr. Randi,

Hilly Rose had me on as a guest again and mentioned your nonsensical \$1,000,000.00 claim regarding proof of the paranormal. Apparently you are unaware that I challenged CFI West, the professional skeptics' cult with which you are associated, to duplicate ONE of Billy Meier's UFO photos and ONE of his film segments.

This challenge was made in response to Mr. Vaughn Rees asserting that Meier's UFO photos and films were "easily duplicated hoaxes". The challenge was accepted by Mr. Rees in February of 2001. To date, no such evidence has been presented by CFI West to substantiate their claim. This despite my generously offering that they use ANY technological means at their disposal to duplicate the photo and film taken with ordinary means by Mr. Meier between 1975 - 1980.

Likewise, Mr. Michael Shermer of Skeptic Magazine has retreated into the shadows when I challenged him regarding the Meier case. I have been widely publicizing the failure of these parties, yourself included, to debunk the Meier case...and shall gleefully continue to do so.

Further, while you're still stumped by the hard evidence in the case, you will find it all the more impossible to explain the higher standard of proof, i.e. the prophetically accurate scientific and world event-related information that Meier published from a few weeks to more than 25 years before "official" discovery or occurrence. Oh yes, this includes the warning that he wrote and published in 1995 about the recent attack on Iraq.

It is truly pathetic how lacking in credibility you and your organization are so... I think that you and I have a date with destiny, one that will surely relieve you of your \$1,000,000.00, though that is a minor consideration for me.

Belly up to the bar, boys,

Michael Horn
www.andyettheyfly.com

From: "James Randi" <randi@randi.org>
Date: Tue Apr 15, 2003 6:48:03 AM US/Pacific
To: "Michael Horn" <michael@andyettheyfly.com>
Subject: RE: Referral

I have been widely publicizing the failure of these parties, yourself included, to debunk the Meier case...<

Then you have no understanding of my premise: I make no claim; Mr. Meier does. Let him prove his claim. It's that simple, yet you have a problem understanding this basic fact, it seems. Yet I'm sure you will "gleefully

continue" to rant on.... No one is "stumped" by the case. A child can see through the farce, though some adults have a problem with that.

I'm always told about such things as "the prophetically accurate scientific and world event-related information that Meier published from a few weeks to more than 25 years before "official" discovery or occurrence. Oh yes, this includes the warning that he wrote and published in 1995 about the recent attack on Iraq." Hate to rain on your favorite delusion, but let's look at (a) the number of "prophetic" statement Meier has made, and (b) how many were correct. Bummer!

Say hello to Hilly for me....

James Randi

From: Michael Horn <michael@andyettheyfly.com>

Date: Tue Apr 15, 2003 8:33:02 AM US/Pacific

To: "James Randi" <randi@randi.org>, SKEPTICMAG@aol.com, vaughn@cfiwest.org

Subject: Re: Referral

I have been widely publicizing the failure of these parties, yourself included, to debunk the Meier case...<

Then you have no understanding of my premise: I make no claim; Mr. Meier does. Let him prove his claim. It's that simple, yet you have a problem understanding this basic fact, it seems. Yet I'm sure you will "gleefully continue" to rant on....

Ah ha, we meet at last! Let me make it easy for you. At the website (www.andyettheyfly.com) you will find a sample of the sounds of a UFO Meier **claims** he recorded on a cassette machine, outdoors, in 1980. Duplicate it. With any synthesizers or sound sources you can. Betcha your million bucks you can't. Oh yeah, make sure that the patterns they generate on a spectrum analyzer and oscilloscope include the 8 inaudible sounds Meier captured, as well as the ambient sounds. Now, since he claims that these are the sounds of a UFO it should be pretty easy for you, today, to show that they were just a washing machine and a large Swiss watch.

No one is "stumped" by the case. A child can see through the farce, though some adults have a problem with that.

I see, the Michael Shermer defense, i.e. children and elves can see through it. They can even duplicate his photos using pie pans and hubcaps.

I'm always told about such things as "the prophetically accurate scientific and world event-related information that Meier published from a few weeks to more than 25 years before "official" discovery or occurrence. Oh yes, this includes the warning that he wrote and published in 1995 about the recent attack on Iraq." Hate to rain on your favorite delusion, but let's look at (a) the number of "prophetic" statement Meier has made, and (b) how many were correct. Bummer!

While you may be always told about them apparently you haven't taken the time to do a little homework. No matter, I've done it for you. At the website you'll find a partial list of the prophetically accurate material. It's quite specific. We have the copyrighted, published documents to back it up. Maybe you can explain how Meier beat Cornell University astronomers by 20 years with specific information regarding the composition of the rings of Jupiter. They can't.

When did you make that prediction?

Maybe you can explain why Marcel Vogel, late of IBM, is on record stating he couldn't duplicate the metal alloy samples Meier presented to him...with any technology known to him.

And yes, the statement regarding the attack on Iraq was first published in 1995, I downloaded it from the Internet in 1998 and it was/is in the book "And Yet They Fly!" published September 16, 2001.

When did you make that prediction?

So, instead of continuing to show yourself as woefully unformed, do indeed look at (a) and (b)..and then explain how he did it.

You have a problem, especially being as unfamiliar with the content of the case as you are. You, and other cultic debunkers, would rather attribute to Meier above-genius abilities in photography, film making, video production, sound engineering, metallurgy and (dare I say) some form of prescience than accept the obvious: his contacts, and his evidence, are authentic.

And, while you're at it, see if Mr. Rees and Mr. Shermer can make a better accounting of themselves, lead by example, if you can.

All the best,

Michael Horn

[Say hello to Hilly for me....](#)

[James Randi](#)

From: "James Randi" <randi@randi.org>
Date: Tue Apr 15, 2003 11:21:40 AM US/Pacific
To: "'Michael Horn'" <michael@andyettheyfly.com>
Subject: RE: Referral

Okay, I'm bored. You can't seem to get off the "duplication" thing, can you?

If I break a wine-glass, can you duplicate that break exactly? No. So what value is duplication?

Oops, I'm wasting my time again. I already explained myself, you choose not to understand (or you're just too dense) so we've nothing more to say.

CAN MEIER PROVE HIS CASE? NO.

Case closed.

James Randi.

(I'll enter into no more correspondence with you. I have real people to deal with.)

From: Michael Horn <michael@andyettheyfly.com>

Date: Tue Apr 15, 2003 12:20:11 PM US/Pacific

To: "James Randi" <randi@randi.org>, SKEPTICMAG@aol.com, Vaughn@cfiwest.org

Subject: Mr. AMAZING RUNS AWAY, CAN'T TAKE THE HEAT!!!

Ah, another non-responsive non-response from the Wizard of Flaws. It isn't true because you say so! Wow, what a stunning, brilliant bit of deduction. You've spent a little too much time doing parlor tricks for kids, you know, the ones that can see through the farce. In this case, they would indeed see through yours.

As a matter of fact, take the average kid and show him 1200 clear daytime UFO photos, film segments, sound recordings and metal samples, add the scientific, prophetically accurate info and, when he asks you how Meier did it, you'll say...what? "He did it with mirrors" or "I don't have a clue but it can't be real because I SAY it isn't".

What kind of a hoax are YOU trying to foist on thinking people?

Add to your being bored: incompetent, unscientific, amateurish and cowardly. You and the other clowns in your cult have leveled unproven allegations and refuse to back them up. Funny that your boredom has not infected astronomers and physicists and other scientific experts who have found the evidence authentic. But hey, they're only scientists and you're the high priest of a cult of true believers who demand that reality fits your preconceptions. That's religion, not science.

Did you REALLY ask what value is duplication? We've got a few scientists on this list. Can you not hear the ripples of laughter? Just what DO you know about the scientific method? Shermer must be biting his tongue over that one.

You certainly have explained yourself, quite nakedly for quite a few to see. You're an "expert" because you say you are. You demand proof but won't test it. Are ALL magicians by definition clowns? I hope and think not but this is classic Bozo material, no offense meant to Bozo.

You don't have to respond, certainly not if you're gonna keep on sticking those over-sized shoes in your mouth. But don't worry, we'll let your words and deep thoughts represent you, your organization and your BOGUS offer for some time to come.

I think I now know what the "Amazing" stands for.

Michael Horn

From: "Vaughn Rees" <vaughn@cfiwest.org>

Date: Tue Apr 15, 2003 7:56:58 AM US/Pacific

To: <michael@andyettheyfly.com>

Subject:

Michael,

I would like to point out a couple of things.

1. At the Consciences Expo you made it quite clear that even if the photo's were duplicated it would not change your belief that Billy Meier had contact with beings not of this world. In other words no amount of evidence would be sufficient to change your beliefs on this matter. I suspect that even if Billy told you that he had hoaxed the whole thing, that you still would not be convinced.

2. I told you that I could not start on this project until we moved into our new facilities and that we had the photo lab in place. It is not in place yet because construction is not complete. You are more than welcome to come over and verify for yourself.

3. I am not obligated to disprove your claim, it is the responsibility of the claimant to prove the claim. I said that I could probably duplicate some of these but that it will not necessarily be exact. A statement to which you agreed. I would strongly suggest that before you make statements to people on this matter that you contact me first to insure that the information reflect what I actually said.

Sincerely

Vaughn Rees

From: Michael Horn <michael@andyettheyfly.com>

Date: Tue Apr 15, 2003 12:55:15 PM US/Pacific

To: "Vaughn Rees" <vaughn@cfiwest.org>, SKEPTICMAG@aol.com, randi@randi.org

Subject: YOU'VE GOT TO BE KIDDING, RIGHT?

Vaughn,

Well, well, well. You were not shy about busting the guys on the UFO panel for their deception. But it looks like you can't take the heat...so why are you in the kitchen?

A few points for you:

1. You misunderstood (big surprise.) I said that I didn't NEED the photos or even the other hard evidence to conclude that the case was authentic. Though they DO establish it, it's the higher standard of proof that is irrefutable, irreproducible and unimpeachable. And please, don't speak about "no amount of evidence" to the contrary since you haven't provided anything except, fatuous, unsubstantiated OPINIONS and BELIEFS, just like the Mr. Amazing. And we all know that Mr. Shermer can only claim that children and elves with hubcaps, etc., etc.

2. No you didn't. You told me, in February of 2001, that you had a 35mm camera, would get an 8mm movie camera and show me how easily it was done. Remember you said the lights on the flanges of the UFO were scratched onto the film with a pin? By the way, how come you need a lab (after more than two years) to do what Meier did hundreds of times over without one? You might also remember that I invited you to use ANY technology available to you including computers, digital effects, Photo Shop, special effects, etc. I only asked that you be a sport about it and use one arm. That was in April of 2001. Now, for the record, I once again challenge you and the whole crew to show that his evidence is false. One way is to duplicate it with the same means he used to create it. That includes the still remaining physical evidence in the form of the sound recordings.

3. Whoa, boy! YOU CLAIMED that they were "easily duplicated hoaxes". So, unless your job description is defamer or slanderer you darn well better be able to prove YOUR claim. You boys don't seem to get that Meier has proved his over and over again. If he hasn't, please use a little more than hot air to demonstrate that it isn't so. A review of recent correspondence demonstrates that Mr. Amazing is running like hell from this encounter. Let's hope that you can make a better showing for your religious group than the high priest has. Of course now you're saying "probably" and "not necessarily

be exact".

DO ANY OF YOU PEOPLE HAVE A NODDING ACQUAINTANCE WITH THE SCIENTIFIC METHOD OR DO YOU JUST FOCUS ON BOGUS OFFERS, DEBUNKING SCHIZOPHRENICS AND DAZZLING LITTLE CHILDREN WITH PARLOR TRICKS?

I have copied you on all material regarding our interaction and my critiquing of it. It is only now when the curtain's been pulled back to reveal the Wizards of Flaws for, ah let's say, far less than your group has portrayed yourselves to be that we're suddenly getting a little touchy.

Last but not least, I now request that you produce the proof for YOUR claims and charges or issue a PUBLIC retraction. We have abundant scientific proof that the case is authentic. If you provide a credible analysis and proof to the contrary it will be welcomed. You need to ask yourselves what you would LOSE by the case being authentic and what you would gain if it is. Instead of coming at things from a religious perspective where things have to fit your preconceived beliefs or they MUST be false, adopt a scientific perspective and observe, analyze and base your conclusions on what IS, not what you THINK it should be.

The ball is in your court.

Warm regards,

Michael Horn

www.andyettheyfly.com

From: "JREF" <challenge@randi.org>
Date: Tue Apr 15, 2003 1:41:43 PM US/Pacific
To: <michael@andyettheyfly.com>
Cc: <linda@randi.org>
Subject: RE: Referral

A back issue of Skeptic magazine has photos made by 10 year olds that are just as good as anything Meier ever made. There's your proof.

BTW: I have friends that believe in UFO's but don't believe in Meier because they saw the models in his workshop.

Andrew Harter
JREF

From: Michael Horn <michael@andyettheyfly.com>
Date: Tue Apr 15, 2003 5:54:04 PM US/Pacific
To: "JREF" <challenge@randi.org>, Vaughn@cfiwest.org, SKEPTICMAG@aol.com
Subject: Re: Referral

Andrew,

Oh well, another brilliantly documented, well researched display of scientific acumen. Pardon me if I yawn. There's my proof? Pardon me if I seem SKEPTICAL of such brain dead responses masquerading as "proof". Do you guys have ANYBODY, even any of those 10 year olds, on your staff that can make you look like you even have a clue as to what you're talking about?

Even good ol' Vaughn at CFI West isn't daring to spew that sort of nonsense out...especially since he can't make even **ONE** photo or film that duplicates the quality of Meier's. But, since you keep sending the farm team up to bat, let me make it even easier for you. Go to www.andyettheyfly.com Don't bother with the jaw-dropping clarity of the photos you'll find (but are still unable to duplicate) there. Go instead to the free sound sample. Download it. Duplicate it. That means get a cassette machine, go outdoors into an open field and record 40 minutes of irreproducible sounds, 32 to be exact. Make sure that 8 of them are in the inaudible range and that all of them display the unique characteristics and patterns the professional sound analysis revealed. Since Meier had 15 witnesses when he recorded the sounds in 1980, maybe you can get 15 other geniuses to stand around and hum different sounds to show us how easy it is to do.

Now I hope you're sitting down. The investigative team brought models to Switzerland to photograph in order to see if Meier indeed was faking the photos with models. Computer analysis showed he wasn't. But, hey, why should I disturb all you true believers with facts? And to think we haven't even discussed the copyrighted, documented information that Meier published up to 25 years BEFORE "official" discovery or occurrence. I hope I'm not going too fast for you guys.

Rest assured that I will give you every opportunity to sound and appear coherent, competent and credible. But it will be up to you to make a better showing than this kindergarden stuff you've scribbled out so far. Please, PLEASE at least make an attempt to do so.

Michael Horn

Disclaimer of Liability: With respect to documents available from this server, neither And Yet They Fly.com nor Steelmark LLC nor any of its employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, including the warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

Disclaimer of Endorsement: Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by And Yet They Fly.com or Steelmark LLC. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of And Yet They Fly.com or Steelmark LLC.

You can find more information on the Billy Meier contacts by visiting <http://www.andyettheyfly.com>
Join our email group at the site for updates and NEW information. Emailing with integrity = no spam!